## PDR RID Report

Date Last Modified 4/12/95

Originator Lynnes, Chris Organization **GSFC DAAC** 

Phone No 301-286-2260

**CSMS** Review

RID ID

Driginator Ref

**CSMS** Requirements

Priority

2

**PDR** 259

E Mail Address lynnes@daac.gsfc.nasa.gov

GDAAC-CSMS-3rd Party Mail Destinations **Document** 

> Section 6.2.1.2 Page 6-9,6-10

Figure Table NA

Category Name Design-CSS

Actionee HAIS

Sub Category

Subject Support Different Names for Reply-To and Errors-To

## Description of Problem or Suggestion:

This section mentions sending mail to various types of destinations (e.g. C-CSS-61850 and 61400), but does not address the necessity for specifying Reply-To and Errors-To destinations which are different from the default (From). This requirement is especially critical for the API, which is likely to be used by processes started up under a "system" user id, whereas replies should be directed to actual DAAC people or groups.

As an example, the GSFC DAAC V0 Data Server sends a mail message to users when FTP data is ready for staging, but is run under a made-up user id that corresponds to no actual person. The Reply-To field is used to route automatic replies to the DAAC User Services Office. The Errors-To field also routes errors to USO, though this may be changed to the system manager in the future.

## Originator's Recommendation

Add requirements to specify that errors and replies be sent to 3rd parties. Also add a requirement to be able to configure where errors and replies should be sent.

GSFC Response by:

**GSFC** Response Date

HAIS Response by: Forman HAIS Schedule 2/28/95

HAIS R. E. Vazarkar

3/6/95 **HAIS Response Date** 

CSS agrees that the third part destinations like Reply-To and Errors-To fields will add value to the e-mail service. Impact to the design of adding these requirements is being considered and will be addressed by CDR.

Status Closed Date Closed 4/12/95

**Sponsor** Broder

Attachment if any

Date Printed: 4/19/95 Page: 1 Official RID Report